

2876

Honeywell's Docket No. H0001743 (4780)
Practitioner's Docket No. 100595.0017US1

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Alejandro Madrid

Application No.: 09/825,380 Group No.: 2876
Filed: April 2, 2001 Examiner: Ahshik Kim
For: SMART CONTAINER FOR BULK DELIVERY

Box Non-Fee Amendment
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

AMENDMENT OR RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION--TRANSMITTAL

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment after final rejection (37 C.F.R. 1.116) for this application.

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. sections 1.8(a) and 1.10*
(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is *mandatory*;
Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING



deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

37 C.F.R. section 1.8(a)



with sufficient postage as first class mail.

37 C.F.R. section 1.10*




as "Express Mail Post Office to Address"
Mailing Label No. _____ (mandatory)

TRANSMISSION



transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office.

Date: February 26, 2003


Kristin J. Azcona

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

MAR - 6 2003

RECEIVED

***WARNING:** Each paper or fee filed by "Express Mail" *must* have the number of the "Express Mail" mailing label placed thereon prior to mailing. 37 C.F.R. section 1.10(b).
"Since the filing of correspondence under [section] 1.10 without the Express Mail mailing label thereon is an oversight that can be avoided by the exercise of reasonable care, requests for waiver of this requirement will *not* be granted on petition." Notice of Oct. 24, 1996, 60 Fed. Reg. 56,439, at 56,442.

STATUS

2. Applicant is other than a small entity.

EXTENSION OF TERM

3. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.136 apply. Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

FEE FOR CLAIMS

4. The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:

	(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY	
	Claims Remaining After Amendment		Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate	Addit. Fee
Total	17	Minus	17	= 0	x \$18 =	\$0
Indep.	2	Minus	3	= 0	x \$84 =	\$0
First Presentation of Multiple Dependent Claim					+ \$280 =	\$0
					Total Addit. Fee	\$0

* If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "O" in Col. 3,

** If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE (Column 2, Row 1) is less than 20, enter "20".

*** If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE (Column 2, Row 2) is less than 3, enter "3".


The "Highest No. Previously Paid For" (Total or Indep.) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment or the number of claims originally filed.

No additional fee for claims is required.

FEE DEFICIENCY

5. If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. 502191.
If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 502191.

Date: February 26, 2003


Sandra P. Thompson
Rutan & Tucker, LLP
611 Anton Blvd., 14th Floor
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
USA



34284